## ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS (EMF) FOR SOIL PEST CONTROL AS A MeBr ALTERNATIVE: EFFICACY AND TECHNOLOGY.

P.Baugh, E. Medress,\*\* R. Wayland, F. Davis, P. Lemahieu, and L. Vincent

Effectiveness Efficacy: The potential value of electromagnetic fields (EMF) in agriculture was recognized nearly 60 years ago by Ark and Perry (2). In the intervening years EMF has been used for devitalization of seeds (113), pasteurization of raw milk (810), control of rice weevils (1012), control of weeds (57), and control of nematode populations (911). That EMF exposure is effective against soil borne pests and pathogens is well documented (3,4,5,67,8,9,311,1815,17,20,23). The frequencies of interest are in the range 10 MHz to 10 GHz.

Economics of creating EMF in the soil for pest control are assessed in a companion presentation. The remainder of this presentation will be used to describe and assess the new technology.

Technology and the state of the art: The present technology is an outgrowth of an observation made at Texas A&M in 1971 that EMF is toxic to weeds and weed seeds in soil. The Phytox company conducted biological, engineering, and field research under a licensing agreement with Texas A&M, and designed, built, and tested EMF field prototypes in the 1970s. These EMF delivery systems were effective in pest control, but not practical (—(17) because of a high energy requirement. The challenge was and is to find means to use EMF that reduce energy requirement by increasing efficiency and decreasing machine size and cost.

Bioterm was formed in 1993 to study, support, and promote the use of EMF for pest control in soil. We have focused on applicator and power supply design, and on enhancing biocidal efficiency. Following are the principal developments in the state of the art that have occurred in the past two decades:

COMPONENT	<u>1975</u>		<u>199</u>	998	
•• Electrical generator weight (Including motor)(lbs)	30, <del>000</del>		000	1200	
<ul> <li>Applicator efficiency (ability</li> <li>to deliver energy uniformly to</li> <li>a specified location within the</li> <li>soil profile)</li> </ul>	<del></del> )	5%		<del></del> %	85%
Cost of magnetron/ancillary power sys	etem (\$/KW <del>)</del>	3000	)	3000	200
• Magnetron efficiency (%)		60 - <del>75</del>		<del>7</del> 5	90-95

Biocidal Efficiency (J/cn	n3 required for <del>pest control)</del> 10**	50	50
** Laboratory findingno	t yet studied under field conditions		

<del>11.</del>

The first four improvements, taken together, constitute a massive increase in operating efficiency. The fifth, should it be demonstrated to occur under field conditions, would decrease energy requirement by about a half-order of magnitude, with concomitant decreases in breakeven costs.

— The first four improvements, though field-tested separately, have not yet been united into a field prototype. Clearly, this is an urgently needed next step if the use of EMF represents an alternative to MeBr in soil pest control.

## Pertinent Literature

- 1. Appleman, Fred. 1973. Z-5 Engineering Report. unpublished report available from Bioterm, 724 Mary Lake, Bryan, TX, 77802.
- 2. Ark, P.A. and W. Parry. 1940. Application of high-frequency electrostatic fields in Agriculture. Quarterly Review of Biology 15: 172-191.
- 3. Baker, K.F. and W.H. Fuller. 1969. Soil Treatment by Microwave Energy to Destroy Plant Pathogens. Phytopathology 59(2): 193-197.
- 4. Baugh, P., F. Davis, E. Meddress, and J.R. Wayland. 1998. Phoenix 15 Economic Analysis, Schedule K (Three year Proforma Statement) and Schedule D-2 (Monthly Income Statement, Second Year). *in* EMF for Soil Pest Control as an Alternative. Proceedings Fifth International Conference on Methyl Bromide Alternatives. Available from Alternatives Outreach. 144 W. Peace River Drive, Fresno Ca. 93711.
- 5. Barker, K.R 1973. Nematocidal and herbicidal effectiveness of 2450 MHz energy as a preplanted treatment for direct seeded soybeans. Unpublished data available from Bioterm, 724 Mary Lake, Bryan, TX. 77802.
- 6. Courtney, J.S., G.W. Hawkins, and D.G. Larsen. 1974. Effects of microwaves on soil chemistry. Proc. S. Weed. Sci. 277:199(Abstr.)
- 7. Davis, F.S., J.R. Wayland, and M.G. Merkle. 1971 Ultra-high frequency electromagnetic fields for weed control: phytotoxity and selectivity. Science 173:535-537.
- 8. Davis, F, S., J.R. Wayland and M. G. Merkle. 1973. Phytotoxicity of a UHF electromagnetic field. Nature 241:291-292.
- 9. Gullino, M.L. 1992. Methyl bromide and alternatives in Italy. In: Proceeding International Workshops on Alternatives to Methyl Bromide for Soil Fumigation. Rotterdam, The Netherlands and Rome, Italy: 242-254.
- 10. Hamid, M.A.K., R.J. Boulanger, S.C. Tong, R.A. Gallop, and R.R. Perecira. 1969. Microwave pasteurization of raw milk. J. Microwave Power 4: 272-274.
- 12. Heald, C.M., R.M.Menges and J.R. Wayland. 1974. Efficacy of ultrahigh frequency (UHF) electromagnetic energy and soil fumigation on the control of the Reniform nematode and common purslane among southern peas. Plant Disease Reporter 58 (11): 985 987.

105-2

20. 12. Kirkpatrick, R.L., J.H. Brower, and EW. Tilton. 1972. A comparison of microwave and infrared radiation to control rice weevils (<u>Coleoptera.curulinidae</u>) in wheat. J. Kansas Entomological Society 45: 434-438.

- 13. Lambert, D.W., W. Worzella, R.C. Kinch, and J.N. Cheadle. 1950. Devitalization of cereal and weed seeds by high frequency. Agronomy J. 42:304-307
- 14. McDonald, J. Farnsworth, M., Laguna-Solar, M., Green, I., and Tjosvolo, V. 1996. Alternatives to methyl bromide for floricultural crops. California Nurseryman, Summer 1996:26-27.
- 15. Menges, R. M. and J.R. Wayland. 1974. UHF electromagnetic energy for weed control in vegetables. Weed Sci. 22:584-590.
- 16. Mataxas, J., 1985. Notes on design of RF applicators. J. Microwave Power 20:197-210.
- 17. Nelson, S.O. 1996. A review and assessment of microwave energy for soil treatment to control pests. Trans. ASAE 39(1): 281-289
- 18. Nelson, S. O., and B.H.Kantack.1966. Stored-grain insect control studies with radio-frequency energy. J.Econ. Entomol 59:588-594.
- 19. Padgett, S. 1995. Development, identification, and characterization of glyphosate tolerant soybean lines. Crop Science 35:1451-1461.
- 21. Tveroski, S.L. 1973. The effect of short and ultra-short radio waves on fungi and bacteria pathogenic to plants. Zashchita Rosterii 13(22): 8 in: Ark, P.A. and P.Willette. 1940. Application of high frequency electrostatic fields in agriculture. Quarterly Rev. of Biol 15:172-191.

- 22. Wayland, J. R. 1997. Phytotoxicity of a combined RF and microwave electromagnetic field. U.S. Patent 5,060,414, issued 0ct19, 1991.
- 23. Wayland, J. R., F. S. Davis and M. G. Merkle. 1973. Toxicity of an UHF device to plant seeds in soil. Weed Sci. 21 (3): 161-162.